

The Euclidean Yang-Mills theory from Gribov-Zwanziger theory

Sudhaker Upadhyay and B. P. Mandal

Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, India

XIX DAE-BRNS HEP Symposium,
Jaipur, India.

Outline

- The Yang-Mills (YM) theory: introduction
- The Gribov-Zwanziger (GZ) theory
- The nilpotent BRST symmetry transformation for GZ theory
- Generalized BRST transformation
- Relating the GZ theory and YM theory through generalized BRST
- Conclusion

The Yang-Mills (YM) theory: introduction

- The generating functional for YM theory (in the manifestly covariant gauge)

$$Z_{YM} = \int [D\phi] e^{-S_{YM}},$$

where,

$$S_{YM} = \int d^4x \left[-\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu}^a F_{\mu\nu}^a + B^a \partial_\mu A_\mu^a + \bar{c}^a \mathcal{M}^{ab} c^b \right]$$

the field strength tensor $F_{\mu\nu}^a$ and Faddeev-Popov operator \mathcal{M}^{ab} are defined as

$$F_{\mu\nu}^a = \partial_\mu A_\nu^a - \partial_\nu A_\mu^a - gf^{abc} A_\mu^b A_\nu^c$$

and

$$\mathcal{M}^{ab} = -\partial_\mu D_\mu^{ab}$$

Nilpotent BRST symmetry for YM theory

- The above YM action is invariant under following BRST transformation:

$$\delta_b A_\mu^a = -\mathcal{D}_\mu^{ab} c^b \Lambda,$$

$$\delta_b c^a = \frac{1}{2} g f^{abc} c^b c^c \Lambda,$$

$$\delta_b \bar{c}^a = B^a \Lambda,$$

$$\delta_b B^a = 0,$$

where, Λ is usual infinitesimal and anticommuting BRST parameter.

The Gribov-Zwanziger theory

- The gauge fixing condition $\partial_\mu A_\mu^a = 0$ can not fix the gauge uniquely. Each gauge orbit intersects the gauge fixing hypersurface $\Gamma = \{A_\mu^a; \partial_\mu A_\mu^a = 0\}$ many times. Therefore the theory has *Gribov-copies*.
- Such Gribov copies can be neglected in the ultraviolet (perturbative) regime. It plays an important role in the infrared (non-perturbative) regime, which is closely related to the gluon confinement.
- To avoid the Gribov copies, Gribov (1978) proposed to restrict the domain of integration in the functional integral to the Gribov region, whose boundary is the first *Gribov horizon*.

The Gribov-Zwanziger theory


- Such a restriction can be achieved by adding a nonlocal term in the YM action¹ as :

$$S_h = \int d^4x h(x),$$
$$h(x) = \gamma^4 \int d^4y g^2 f^{abc} A_\mu^b(x) (\mathcal{M}^{-1})^{ce}(x, y) f^{ade} A_\mu^d(y)$$

- The nonlocal term S_h can be localized² by introducing a quartet of auxiliary fields $(\bar{\varphi}_\mu^{ac}, \varphi_\mu^{ac}, \bar{\omega}_\mu^{ac}, \omega_\mu^{ac})$ as

$$S_{local} = \int d^4x [\bar{\varphi}_i^a \partial_\mu \mathcal{D}_\mu^{ab} \varphi_i^b - \bar{\omega}_i^a \partial_\mu \mathcal{D}_\mu^{ab} \omega_i^b - 4(N^2 - 1)\gamma^4 \\ - \gamma^2 g f^{abc} A_\mu^a (\varphi_\mu^{bc} + \bar{\varphi}_\mu^{bc}) - g f^{abc} \partial_\mu \bar{\omega}_i^a \mathcal{D}_\mu^{bd} c^d \varphi_i^c].$$

¹D. Zwanziger, Nucl.Phys.B **323**, 513 (1989).

²D. Dudal, S. P. Sorella and N. Vandersickel, Eur. Phys. J. C **68**, 283 (2010). 

The Gribov-Zwanziger theory

- The complete GZ action S_{GZ} is given as

$$S_{GZ} = S_{YM} + S_{local}.$$

Which further can be recast as

$$S_{GZ} = S_{exact} + S_{\gamma},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} S_{exact} = & S_{YM} + \int d^4x \left[\bar{\varphi}_i^{ac} \partial_{\mu} \mathcal{D}_{\mu}^{ab} \varphi_i^{bc} - \bar{\omega}_i^a \partial_{\mu} \mathcal{D}_{\mu}^{ab} \omega_i^b \right. \\ & \left. - g f^{abc} \partial_{\mu} \bar{\omega}_i^a \mathcal{D}_{\mu}^{bd} c^d \varphi_i^c \right], \end{aligned}$$

and

$$S_{\gamma} = -\gamma^2 \int d^4x \left[g f^{abc} A_{\mu}^a (\varphi_{\mu}^{bc} + \bar{\varphi}_{\mu}^{bc}) + 4(N^2 - 1)\gamma^2 \right].$$

The exact symmetry for GZ theory

- The BRST transformation for the fields :

$$\delta_b A_\mu^a = -\mathcal{D}_\mu^{ab} c^b \Lambda, \quad \delta_b c^a = \frac{1}{2} g f^{abc} c^b c^c \Lambda,$$

$$\delta_b \bar{c}^a = B^a \Lambda, \quad \delta_b B^a = 0,$$

$$\delta_b \varphi_i^a = -\omega_i^a \Lambda, \quad \delta_b \omega_i^a = 0,$$

$$\delta_b \bar{\omega}_i^a = \bar{\varphi}_i^a \Lambda, \quad \delta_b \bar{\varphi}_i^a = 0.$$

- The S_γ is not invariant under the above BRST transformation. Thus the presence of γ dependent term breaks BRST symmetry of GZ theory *softly*.

The extended GZ action

- Further, S_γ is extended by introducing 3 doublets of sources $(U_\mu^{ai}, M_\mu^{ai}), (V_\mu^{ai}, N_\mu^{ai})$ and (T_μ^{ai}, R_μ^{ai}) as

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma_\gamma = & \int d^4x \left(-M_\mu^{ai} \mathcal{D}_\mu^{ab} \varphi_i^b - gf^{abc} U_\mu^{ai} \mathcal{D}_\mu^{bd} c^d \varphi_i^c + U_\mu^{ai} \mathcal{D}_\mu^{ab} \omega_i^b \right. \\ & - N_\mu^{ai} \mathcal{D}_\mu^{ab} \bar{\omega}_i^b - V_\mu^{ai} \mathcal{D}_\mu^{ab} \bar{\varphi}_i^b + gf^{abc} V_\mu^{ai} \mathcal{D}_\mu^{bd} c^d \bar{\omega}_i^c - M_\mu^{ai} V_\mu^{ai} \\ & \left. + U_\mu^{ai} N_\mu^{ai} - gf^{abc} R_\mu^{ai} \mathcal{D}_\mu^{bd} c^d \bar{\omega}_i^c + gf^{abc} T_\mu^{ai} \mathcal{D}_\mu^{bd} c^d \bar{\varphi}_i^c \right).\end{aligned}$$

But we want our original theory. Therefore at the end, we have to set the sources equal to the following values:

$$\begin{aligned}U_\mu^{ai}|_{phys} &= N_\mu^{ai}|_{phys} = T_\mu^{ai}|_{phys} = 0 \\ M_{\mu\nu}^{ab}|_{phys} &= V_{\mu\nu}^{ab}|_{phys} = R_{\mu\nu}^{ab}|_{phys} = \gamma^2 \delta^{ab} \delta_{\mu\nu}.\end{aligned}$$

The extended GZ action

- Such that $\Sigma_\gamma|_{phys} = S_\gamma$.
- Σ_γ is invariant under following BRST transformation:

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_b U_\mu^{ai} &= M_\mu^{ai} \Lambda, & \delta_b M_\mu^{ai} &= 0, \\ \delta_b V_\mu^{ai} &= -N_\mu^{ai} \Lambda, & \delta_b N_\mu^{ai} &= 0, \\ \delta_b T_\mu^{ai} &= -R_\mu^{ai} \Lambda, & \delta_b R_\mu^{ai} &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

- Thus the nilpotent BRST symmetry is recovered for GZ action.

The Generalized BRST transformation

- We are using the generalized BRST formulation³ in this case by allowing the usual BRST parameter to be finite and field dependent

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_b A_\mu^a &= -\mathcal{D}_\mu^{ab} c^b \Theta[\phi], & \delta_b c^a &= \frac{1}{2} g f^{abc} c^b c^c \Theta[\phi], \\ \delta_b \bar{c}^a &= B^a \Theta[\phi], & \delta_b B^a &= 0, \\ \delta_b \varphi_i^a &= -\omega_i^a \Theta[\phi], & \delta_b \omega_i^a &= 0, \\ \delta_b \bar{\omega}_i^a &= \bar{\varphi}_i^a \Theta[\phi], & \delta_b \varphi_i^a &= 0, \\ \delta_b U_\mu^{ai} &= M_\mu^{ai} \Theta[\phi], & \delta_b M_\mu^{ai} &= 0, \\ \delta_b V_\mu^{ai} &= -N_\mu^{ai} \Theta[\phi], & \delta_b N_\mu^{ai} &= 0, \\ \delta_b T_\mu^{ai} &= -R_\mu^{ai} \Theta[\phi], & \delta_b R_\mu^{ai} &= 0,\end{aligned}$$

where $\Theta[\phi]$ is finite, field dependent BRST parameter.

³S. D. Joglekar and B. P. Mandal, Phys. Rev. D **51**, 1919 (1995).

The Generalized BRST transformation

- For such a generalized BRST transformation we construct the transformation

$$\phi(x) \rightarrow \phi'(x),$$

by a continuous interpolation of κ in fields $\phi(x, \kappa)$, ($0 \leq \kappa \leq 1$) such that

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(x, \kappa = 0) &= \phi(x) \\ \phi(x, \kappa = 1) &= \phi'(x)\end{aligned}$$

- Such a generalized BRST is also symmetry of the action. But the path integral measure of generating functional is not invariant under such a transformation.

The Generalized BRST transformation

- The **Jacobian** J for path integral measure can be written as $e^{-S_1[\phi]}$ for some local functional $S_1[\phi]$ iff

$$\int [D\phi] \left[\frac{1}{J} \frac{dJ}{d\kappa} + \frac{dS_1[\phi(x, \kappa)]}{d\kappa} \right] \exp[-(S_{GZ} + S_1)] = 0 \quad (0.1)$$

where $\phi(x, \kappa)$ is all fields involved in GZ action.

The Generalized BRST transformation

- The infinitesimal change in the $J(\kappa)$ can be written as

$$\frac{1}{J} \frac{dJ}{d\kappa} = - \int d^4x \left[\pm \delta_b \phi(x, \kappa) \frac{\partial \Theta'[\phi(x, \kappa)]}{\partial \phi(x, \kappa)} \right],$$

where \pm sign refers to whether ϕ is a bosonic or a fermionic field.

- The infinitesimal change in fields with respect κ is calculated as

$$\frac{d\phi(x, \kappa)}{d\kappa} = \delta_b[\phi(x, \kappa)] \Theta'[\phi(x, \kappa)],$$

Relating the GZ theory and YM theory

- The choice for finite BRST parameter is

$$\Theta'[\phi] = \int d^4x \left[\bar{\omega}_i^a \partial_\mu \mathcal{D}_\mu^{ab} \varphi_i^b - U_\mu^a \mathcal{D}_\mu^{ab} \varphi_i^b - V_\mu^a \mathcal{D}_\mu^{ab} \bar{\omega}_i^b \right. \\ \left. - U_\mu^{ai} V_\mu^{ai} + T_\mu^{ai} g f^{abc} \mathcal{D}_\mu^{bd} c^d \bar{\omega}_i^c \right].$$

- The change in Jacobian for this case

$$\frac{1}{J} \frac{dJ}{d\kappa} = - \int d^4x \left[-\bar{\varphi}_i^a \partial_\mu \mathcal{D}_\mu^{ab} \varphi_i^b + \bar{\omega}_i^a \partial_\mu \mathcal{D}_\mu^{ab} \omega_i^b \right. \\ + M_\mu^{ai} \mathcal{D}_\mu^{ab} \varphi_i^b - U_\mu^{ai} \mathcal{D}_\mu^{ab} \omega_i^b + g f^{abc} U_\mu^{ai} \mathcal{D}_\mu^{bd} c^d \varphi_i^c \\ + N_\mu^{ai} \mathcal{D}_\mu^{ab} \bar{\omega}_i^b + V_\mu^{ai} \mathcal{D}_\mu^{ab} \bar{\varphi}_i^b - g f^{abc} V_\mu^{ai} \mathcal{D}_\mu^{bd} c^d \bar{\omega}_i^c \\ + M_\mu^{ai} V_\mu^{ai} - U_\mu^{ai} N_\mu^{ai} + g f^{abc} R_\mu^{ai} \mathcal{D}_\mu^{bd} c^d \bar{\omega}_i^c \\ \left. - g f^{abc} T_\mu^{ai} \mathcal{D}_\mu^{bd} c^d \bar{\varphi}_i^c + g f^{abc} \partial_\mu \bar{\omega}_i^a \mathcal{D}_\mu^{bd} c^d \varphi_i^c \right].$$

Relating the GZ theory and YM theory

- We consider an ansatz for S_1 as

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 = & \int d^4x \left[\chi_1 \bar{\varphi}_i^a \partial_\mu \mathcal{D}_\mu^{ab} \varphi_i^b + \chi_2 \bar{\omega}_i^a \partial_\mu \mathcal{D}_\mu^{ab} \omega_i^b \right. \\ & + \chi_3 M_\mu^{ai} \mathcal{D}_\mu^{ab} \varphi_i^b + \chi_4 U_\mu^{ai} \mathcal{D}_\mu^{ab} \omega_i^b + \chi_5 g f^{abc} U_\mu^{ai} \mathcal{D}_\mu^{bd} c^d \varphi_i^c \\ & + \chi_6 N_\mu^{ai} \mathcal{D}_\mu^{ab} \bar{\omega}_i^b + \chi_7 V_\mu^{ai} \mathcal{D}_\mu^{ab} \bar{\varphi}_i^b + \chi_8 g f^{abc} V_\mu^{ai} \mathcal{D}_\mu^{bd} c^d \bar{\omega}_i^c \\ & + \chi_9 M_\mu^{ai} V_\mu^{ai} + \chi_{10} U_\mu^{ai} N_\mu^{ai} + \chi_{11} g f^{abc} R_\mu^{ai} \mathcal{D}_\mu^{bd} c^d \bar{\omega}_i^c \\ & \left. + \chi_{12} g f^{abc} T_\mu^{ai} \mathcal{D}_\mu^{bd} c^d \bar{\varphi}_i^c + \chi_{13} g f^{abc} \partial_\mu \bar{\omega}_i^a \mathcal{D}_\mu^{bd} c^d \varphi_i^c \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Relating the GZ theory and YM theory

- The constants χ 's can be evaluated using the necessary condition given in Eq. (0.1). The correct expression for S_1 after calculating χ 's

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 = & \int d^4x \left[-\kappa \bar{\varphi}_i^a \partial_\mu \mathcal{D}_\mu^{ab} \varphi_i^b + \kappa \bar{\omega}_i^a \partial_\mu \mathcal{D}_\mu^{ab} \omega_i^b \right. \\ & + \kappa M_\mu^{ai} \mathcal{D}_\mu^{ab} \varphi_i^b + \kappa U_\mu^{ai} \mathcal{D}_\mu^{ab} \omega_i^b - \kappa g f^{abc} U_\mu^{ai} \mathcal{D}_\mu^{bd} c^d \varphi_i^c \\ & + \kappa N_\mu^{ai} \mathcal{D}_\mu^{ab} \bar{\omega}_i^b + \kappa V_\mu^{ai} \mathcal{D}_\mu^{ab} \bar{\varphi}_i^b - \kappa g f^{abc} V_\mu^{ai} \mathcal{D}_\mu^{bd} c^d \bar{\omega}_i^c \\ & + \kappa M_\mu^{ai} V_\mu^{ai} - \kappa U_\mu^{ai} N_\mu^{ai} + \kappa g f^{abc} R_\mu^{ai} \mathcal{D}_\mu^{bd} c^d \bar{\omega}_i^c \\ & \left. - \kappa g f^{abc} T_\mu^{ai} \mathcal{D}_\mu^{bd} c^d \bar{\varphi}_i^c + \kappa g f^{abc} \partial_\mu \bar{\omega}_i^a \mathcal{D}_\mu^{bd} c^d \varphi_i^c \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Relating the GZ theory and YM theory

- The YM action is obtained by adding $S_1(\kappa = 1)$ to S_{GZ} as

$$\begin{aligned} S_{GZ} + S_1(\kappa = 1) &= \int d^4x \left[\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu}^a F_{\mu\nu}^a + B^a \partial_\mu A_\mu^a \right. \\ &\quad \left. + i \bar{c}^a \partial_\mu \mathcal{D}_\mu^{ab} c^b \right] \\ &\equiv S_{YM} \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$Z_{GZ} \left(= \int [D\phi] e^{-S_{GZ}} \right) \xrightarrow{\text{Finite BRST}} Z_{YM} \left(= \int [D\phi] e^{-S_{YM}} \right),$$

Conclusion

- We construct a generalized BRST transformation which connects the generating functional of GZ theory to the generating functional of YM theory.
- The Jacobian for path integral measure in the generating functional of GZ theory cancels the extra term in the GZ theory.
- Thus the theory which are free from Gribov copies (GZ theory) can be related to the theory with Gribov copies (YM theory).

THANK YOU