

# Screening Effects and Vortex Configurations in Dual QCD

H Nandan

Centre for Theoretical Physics

JMI, New Delhi-110025

HEP 2010, Jaipur (December 16, 2010)

## Monopoles in QCD

- Dual superconductor scenario requires the condensation of magnetic monopoles as a key ingredient, but the QCD as such does not have the magnetic charge as an elementary degrees of freedom (DOF).
- Such dual picture is based on the Abelian gauge theory, but QCD is essentially a non-Abelian gauge theory.
- There exists the gauge fixing techniques which reduce the QCD as an Abelian gauge theory with the monopoles as essential DOF's.
- Some Examples: 't Hooft's Abelian gauge fixing, Magnetic gauge fixing and Maximally Abelian gauge fixing in lattice QCD.

(G Ripka, arXiv : hep-ph/0310102 and references therein.)

Attributes: Conventional Superconductor  $\iff$  Dual QCD

Type of Charges: Electric  $\iff$  Magnetic

Condensation: Cooper Pairs  $\iff$  Monopole/Dyon Pairs

Net Effect: Meissner  $\iff$  Dual Meissner

Confinement: Magnetic Flux  $\iff$  Electric Flux

Type of States:  $m\bar{m}$  Bound  $\iff$   $q\bar{q}$  Bound

S Mandelstam, Phys. Rep. **C23** (1976) 245 and references therein.

## Present Formulation

- Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} \tilde{G}_{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}^{\mu\nu} + \left| (\partial_\mu + i \tilde{g} \tilde{C}_\mu) \phi \right|^2 + \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu (i \partial_\mu + \tilde{g} \tilde{C}_\mu) \psi - m \psi \bar{\psi} - V(\phi^* \phi) \quad (1)$$

where  $\tilde{G}_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu \tilde{C}_\nu - \partial_\nu \tilde{C}_\mu$ ,  $\psi \rightarrow$  quark field and  $\phi \rightarrow$  monopole field.

- Effective potential  $V(\phi^* \phi) = \Omega (\phi^* \phi - \eta^2)^2$ , (2)

where  $\eta^2 = \langle \phi^* \phi \rangle_0$  and  $\Omega = 3\lambda/\alpha_s^2$ .

- Field equations  $(i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu + \tilde{g}\gamma^\mu \tilde{C}_\mu - m) \psi = 0$ , (3)

$$(\partial_\mu + i \tilde{g} \tilde{C}_\mu)^2 \phi + 2\Omega (|\phi|^2 - \eta^2) \phi = 0, \quad (4)$$

$$\partial^\nu \tilde{G}_{\mu\nu} + i\tilde{g}(\phi^* \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_\mu \phi) - \tilde{g}\bar{\psi}\gamma_\mu\psi - \tilde{m}^2 \tilde{C}_\mu = 0 ; (\tilde{m} = (8\pi/\alpha_s)^{1/2}) \eta \quad (5)$$

K Shima, IL Nuovo Cimento **44A** (1978)163.

H Nandan et.al, Euro Phy. Lett.**67**(2004)746.

## Total Charge

- Colour charge density  $j_c = \tilde{m}^2 \tilde{C}_0 + \tilde{g} \psi^\dagger \psi$ . (6)

- Total colour charge of the system

$$Q_c \equiv \int d^3x j_c = \tilde{m}^2 \int d^3x \tilde{C}_0 + \tilde{g} \int d^3x \psi^\dagger \psi. \quad (7)$$

- Since observed hadrons are colour singlet so

$$Q_c \equiv \int d^3x j_c = 0. \quad (8)$$

- It means the colour charge of quark must be totally screened.
- For  $N_q$  quark state ( $\int d^3x \psi^\dagger \psi = N_q$ )

$$N_q = -\tilde{m}^2 / \tilde{g} \int d^3x \tilde{C}_0 \quad (9)$$

- For one quark system  $1 + \tilde{m}^2 / \tilde{g} \int d^3x \tilde{C}_0 = 0$  (10)

Existence of a screening potential  $\tilde{C}_0$  in strong coupling regime!

## Condition for Charge Screening

- Let us consider cylindrically symmetric ansatz

$$\tilde{\mathbf{C}} = -e_\theta \tilde{C}(\rho), \quad \tilde{C}_0 = C_0(\rho), \quad \text{and} \quad \phi(\rho) = \chi(\rho) \exp(i n \theta) \quad (11)$$

- $\psi$  for the stationary solution to the bound quark

$$\psi = \exp(-i\epsilon t) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} u(\rho, \theta, z) \\ v(\rho, \theta, z) \end{array} \right\}, \quad (12)$$

where  $u(\rho)$  and  $v(\rho) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\rho \rightarrow \infty$ .

- In order to guarantee the total charge screening,  $\tilde{C}_0$  must fall off rapidly than  $\rho^{-1}$  from the Gauss law.
- For such  $\tilde{C}_0$ , from field equation  $\tilde{C}_0$  for  $\rho \rightarrow \infty$

$$\tilde{m}^2 \tilde{C}_0 \gg \tilde{g} \psi^\dagger \psi, \quad (13)$$

acts as a necessary condition for total charge screening.

## DME and Colour Flux Screening

- Field equations 
$$\tilde{C}_0'' + \frac{1}{\rho} \tilde{C}_0' - \tilde{m}^2 \tilde{C}_0 = 0, \quad (14)$$

$$\tilde{C}''' + \frac{\tilde{C}'}{\rho} - \frac{\tilde{C}}{\rho^2} - \frac{2n\tilde{g}}{\rho} \chi^2 - \tilde{m}^2 \tilde{C} = 0, \quad (15)$$

$$\chi'' + \frac{\chi'}{\rho} - \left( \frac{n}{\rho} + \tilde{g} \tilde{C} \right)^2 \chi + \tilde{g}^2 \tilde{C}_0^2 \chi + 2\Omega (\chi^2 - \eta^2) \chi = 0, \quad (16)$$

with the following boundary condition for  $\tilde{C}$  in addition to (13).

$$\tilde{m}^2 \rho \tilde{C} + 2n \tilde{g} \zeta^2 \gg \rho (\psi^\dagger \gamma \psi). \quad (17)$$

- Electric and magnetic fields  $\tilde{E} = -\frac{1}{\rho} (\rho \tilde{C})'$  and  $\tilde{H} = \tilde{C}'_0$

- For instance, at large distances

$$\tilde{E} \rightarrow C \sqrt{\frac{\eta}{\rho}} e^{-\tilde{m}\rho} + \text{non-leading terms}, \quad (18)$$

which signifies **DME** and  $\tilde{E}$  screened up to a distance  $\tilde{m}^{-1}$ .

## Flux Quantisation

- Flux quantisation can be seen through the kinetic energy (K) term  $|\mathfrak{D}_\mu\phi|^2$  in the Lagrangian (1) where  $\mathfrak{D}_\mu \equiv \partial_\mu + i\tilde{g}\tilde{C}_\mu$ .

- It leads to
 
$$K = \int_0^\infty \rho d\rho \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \left( \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{d\phi}{d\theta} - \tilde{g}\tilde{C} \right)^2 \eta^2. \quad (19)$$

- Minimisation of the  $K$  in superconducting phase i.e.  $\eta \neq 0$  leads to  $2\pi n/\tilde{g} = \int \tilde{C}_\rho d\theta = \oint \tilde{\mathbf{C}} \cdot d\mathbf{l}$ .
- Using the Stokes theorem then leads

$$\Phi_{\tilde{\mathbf{E}}} = \int (\nabla \times \tilde{\mathbf{C}}) \cdot d\mathbf{S} = \int \tilde{\mathbf{E}} \cdot d\mathbf{S} = n Q_e, \quad (20)$$

where  $Q_e = g/2$  acts as the colour electric charge of a quark.

- Condition (20) is valid with the requirement that  $\phi$  be continuous along any closed path in dual QCD vacuum which encircles  $\tilde{\mathbf{E}}$ .

## Energy Configuration in DGL Model

- Lagrangian  $\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} \tilde{G}_{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}^{\mu\nu} + |\mathcal{D}_\mu \phi|^2 + V(\phi \phi^*)$ . (21)

- Lagrangian (21)  $\equiv$  Lagrangian (1) with charge screening effect.

- Vortices are invariant under translations along any fixed axis and therefore may be viewed as finite energy solutions in two dimensions.

- With vanishing temporal degrees of freedom of  $\tilde{C}_\mu$  (i.e.  $\tilde{C}_0 = 0$ )

$$E = \int d^2x \left[ \frac{1}{4} \tilde{G}_{ij}^2 + |\mathcal{D}_k \phi|^2 + \Omega(\phi \phi^* - \eta^2)^2 \right]. \quad (22)$$

- Energy (22) contains a term  $\tilde{m}^2 \tilde{C}_i^2 \rightarrow$  DME.

- Energy expression can be rewritten as (22)

$$E = \int d^2x \mathcal{E} = \int d^2x \left[ |\mathcal{D}_1 \phi|^2 + |\mathcal{D}_2 \phi|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{G}_{12}^2 + \Omega(\phi \phi^* - \eta^2)^2 \right]. \quad (23)$$

## Bogomol'nyi Bound

- Restructured energy functional

$$\mathcal{E} = \left[ |(\mathcal{D}_1 + i\mathcal{D}_2)\phi|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \{ [\tilde{G}_{12} + \tilde{g}(\phi\phi^* - \eta^2)]^2 + (2\Omega - \tilde{g}^2)(\phi\phi^* - \eta^2)^2 \} + \tilde{g}\eta^2 \tilde{G}_{12} \right].$$

- For  $2\Omega = \tilde{g}^2$  (i.e.  $g^2 = 6\lambda$ )  $\implies \kappa = \left[ \frac{3\lambda}{2\pi\alpha_s} \right]^{1/2} = \frac{\sqrt{6\lambda}}{g} = 1$ , energy

$$E = \int d^2x \left[ |(\mathcal{D}_1 + i\mathcal{D}_2)\phi|^2 + \frac{1}{2} [\tilde{G}_{12} + \tilde{g}(\phi\phi^* - \eta^2)]^2 \right] + \tilde{g}\eta^2 \Phi_{\tilde{E}}.$$

- With the vanishing of the squared entities i.e.,

$$(\mathcal{D}_1 + i\mathcal{D}_2)\phi = 0, \quad \tilde{G}_{12} + \tilde{g}(\phi\phi^* - \eta^2)^2 = 0. \quad (24)$$

- The minimum energy  $E_n = 2n\pi\eta^2$ . (25)

- $E_n$  can be re-casted as  $E_n \geq 2n\pi\eta^2$  whether  $n$  is positive or negative.

## Conclusions and Future Possibilities

- QCD vacuum with magnetic condensation with total colour charge screening necessarily give rise to DME leading to quark bound states.
- For the transition from type-II to type-I (for  $\kappa = 1$ ,  $\lambda = 1$ ;  $\alpha_s \simeq 0.5$ ) dual QCD vacuum, n-vortex solutions exist with BPS conditions.
- It remains to see the evolution pattern of the interaction energy among vortices in **type-I** ( $\kappa < 1$ ) and **type-II** ( $\kappa > 1$ ) superconducting dual QCD vacuum with numerical estimations.
- It would also be meaningful to investigate the exact process of how the vortices attract/repel each other in different coupling regimes.

THANKS